

**To:** Edlund, Carl[Edlund.Carl@epa.gov]; Webster, Susan[webster.susan@epa.gov]; Petersen, Chris[petersen.chris@epa.gov]  
**From:** Rauscher, Jon  
**Sent:** Fri 8/14/2015 8:42:33 PM  
**Subject:** RE: R8 sediment and more r6 water posted

Protective incident-specific recreational scenario regional screening levels (RSLs) was developed Region 8.

The agreement with area command is that these RSLs will be used by Regions 6, 8 and 9.

**From:** Edlund, Carl  
**Sent:** Friday, August 14, 2015 3:34 PM  
**To:** Webster, Susan; Rauscher, Jon; Petersen, Chris  
**Subject:** FW: R8 sediment and more r6 water posted

So ...we are happy with the RSLs?

**From:** Gray, David  
**Sent:** Friday, August 14, 2015 3:18 PM  
**To:** Crossland, Ronnie; Hayes, Mark; Ruhl, Christopher; Coleman, Sam; Edlund, Carl; Curry, Ron  
**Subject:** R8 sediment and more r6 water posted

Sediment data posted on page

<http://www2.epa.gov/goldkingmine/gold-king-mine-data-august-14-2015>

Second spreadsheet for yesterday added to page

<http://www2.epa.gov/goldkingmine/gold-king-mine-data-august-13-2015>

The EPA sediment samples collected in the Animas River from Bakers Bridge to north of Durango have been validated. EPA has done a review of the data which included a comparison to background to determine if the metal concentrations are consistent with pre-incident levels. Metal results that exceeded pre-incident levels were subsequently compared to risk-based screening levels. These results indicate that minor exceedances of background concentrations were observed for antimony, lead, silver, thallium. However, comparison to risk-based screening values found these exceedances to below risk screening levels. The review and interpretation of these data was a collaborative effort that included state and local members of the unified command.

Sent from my iPhone